

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/808,635	<b>Applicant(s)</b> TEO, SIEW SIONG	
	<b>Examiner</b> Terry L. Englund	<b>Art Unit</b> 2816	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Nearing allowance

(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Applicant's Rep Brett A Hertzberg (Reg No 42,666).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 5 April 2007

**Time:** 2:30 PM

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

35 U.S.C. 112 related.

**Claims discussed:**

1, 14, 16, 19 and 20

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Applicant's representative agreed to submit Supplemental Amendment to address concerns identified by the examiner, and ensure a signed copy of an amendment would be entered. See the Response to Amendment/Drawings for details.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Terry L. Englund / Kenneth Bull  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

Primary

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/808,635	TEO, SIEW SIONG	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Terry L. Englund	2816	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Now allowed

(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Alice Baum (representing Brett A. Hertzberg).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 11 April 2007

**Time:** 2:50 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

14 and 15

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed two inadvertent type oversights with A. Baum (representing the applicant's representative Brett A. Hertzberg, Reg. No. 42,660). Final approval by Hertzberg was relayed to the examiner by A. Baum on a telephone message left at 6:47 PM. See the Examiner's Amendment for details of the oversights.